

**FINANCIAL COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR JOB WORK CONTRACT FOR PROVIDING SECURITY SERVICES AT ICAR-CIRG,  
MAKHDOOM FARAH, MATHURA**

<b>Sl. No</b>	<b>Name of the Firm</b>	<b>Remarks</b>	<b>Qualification Status for further comparison</b>
<b>1</b>	M/S Citi Alert Security Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi	Quoted below the prevalent Central Minimum Wages, hence, do not qualify for further comparison.	NOT QUALIFIED
<b>2</b>	M/S Alert Enterprises, New Delhi	Quoted below the prevalent Central Minimum Wages. Also, per guard rate has been quoted for one point, which is against the norms, hence, do not qualify for further comparison.	NOT QUALIFIED
<b>3</b>	M/S Sharma Service Security Agency Pvt. Ltd., Mathura.	Reliever's charges have not been quoted. One person cannot be engaged for full 30 days in a month and 365 days a year, without any rest. Quoted rates, including the EPF amount, clearly show that the same person shall be engaged continuously for the full month, which is against the norms. The total quoted amount is, thus, less than the total minimum admissible amount, hence, do not qualify for further comparison.	NOT QUALIFIED
<b>4</b>	M/S Katara Security Services, Mathura.	Per guard rate has been quoted for one point, which is against the norms. Also, Reliever's charges have not been quoted. One person cannot be engaged for full 30 days in a month and 365 days a year, without any rest. Quoted rates, including the EPF amount, clearly show that the same person shall be engaged continuously for the full month, which is against the norms, hence, do not qualify for further comparison.	NOT QUALIFIED
<b>5</b>	M/S Vision Security Services, Meerut	Quoted as per norms, hence, qualify for further comparison.	QUALIFIED

<b>6</b>	M/S Vikas Joshi Security Agency, Delhi	Wrong calculation of Reliever's charges (Statutory dues have not been taken into consideration which calculating the Reliever's charges). In Annexure P1, rates of Security Guard without Arms are more than the rates of Security Guard with Arms, which is irregular. Also, per Guard rate has been quoted for one point, which is against the norms, hence, do not qualify for further comparison.	NOT QUALIFIED
<b>7</b>	M/S Bundelkhand Security & Other Allied Jobs, Jhansi	Quoted as per norms, hence, qualify for further comparison.	QUALIFIED
<b>8</b>	M/S Centurion Detectives (India) Pvt. Ltd., Noida	Wrong calculation of Reliever's charges (Statutory dues have not been taken into consideration which calculating the Reliever's charges). Also, per Guard rate has been quoted for one point, which is against the norms, hence, do not qualify for further comparison.	NOT QUALIFIED
<b>9</b>	M/S Vishal Security Force, Mumbai	Per Guard rate has been quoted for one point, which is against the norms, hence, do not qualify for further comparison.	NOT QUALIFIED
<b>10</b>	M/S National Protective Security Services, Nagpur	Reliever's charges have not been quoted. One person cannot be engaged for full 30 days in a month and 365 days a year, without any rest. Quoted rates, including the EPF amount, clearly shows that the same person shall be engaged continuously for the full month, which is against the norms. The total quoted amount is, thus, less than the total minimum admissible amount, hence, do not qualify for further comparison.	NOT QUALIFIED
<b>11</b>	M/S Fidere Facilities Management Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi	Quoted below the Central Minimum Wages. Also, wrong calculation of Reliever's charges (Statutory dues have not been taken into consideration which calculating the Reliever's charges), hence, do not qualify for further comparison.	NOT QUALIFIED

12	M/S Mayank Agency, Agra	Per Guard rate has been quoted for one point, which is against the norms, hence, do not qualify for further comparison.	NOT QUALIFIED
13	M/S Glair Security & Allied Services Pvt. Ltd., Mathura	Wrong calculation of Reliever's charges (Statutory dues have not been taken into consideration which calculating the Reliever's charges), hence, do not qualify for further comparison.	NOT QUALIFIED
14	M/S Armour Security India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi	Financial Bid and Annexure P1 are unsigned. Quoted below the Central Minimum Wages. Per Guard rate has been quoted for one point, which is against the norms, hence, do not qualify for further comparison.	NOT QUALIFIED
15	M/S ISA Outsource & HR Management Pvt. Ltd., Agra	Wrong calculation of Reliever's charges (calculated less than 1/6 <sup>th</sup> ), hence, do not qualify for further comparison.	NOT QUALIFIED
16	M/S KSJ Dynamic Security Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi	Quoted as per norms, hence, qualify for further comparison.	QUALIFIED
17	M/S Garrison Securitas Regd., Saharanpur	Wrong calculation of Reliever's charges (Statutory dues have not been taken into consideration which calculating the Reliever's charges). Also, Per Guard rate has been quoted for one point, which is against the norms, hence, do not qualify for further comparison.	NOT QUALIFIED

**Recommendation of the Committee:**

**A total of 17 No. of Bids have been received for Security Job Work Contract Tender at ICAR-CIRG, Makhdoom. As per above C. S., only 3 firms, out of the 17, have quoted their rates as per norms and qualify for further analysis. Hence, the committee recommends for further analysis of rates quoted by these three firms, i.e. Sl. No. 5, M/s Vision Security Services; Sl. No. 7, M/S Bundelkhand Sec. Ser. And Sl. No. 16, M/S KSJ Dynamic Sec. Pvt. Ltd., for arriving at the L1 firm.**

**FINANCIAL COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR JOB WORK CONTRACT FOR PROVIDING SECURITY SERVICES AT ICAR-CIRG,  
MAKHDOOM FARAH, MATHURA**

<b>Sl. No</b>	<b>Name of the Firm</b>	<b>Quoted Rates</b>	<b>Ranking Status</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>M/S Vision Security Services, Meerut</b>	<b>8,37,577.58</b> <b>(Eight Lakh Thirty Seven Thousand Five Hundred &amp; Seventy Seven and Paise Fifty Eight only)</b>	<b>L1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>M/S Bundelkhand Security &amp; Other Allied Jobs, Jhansi</b>	<b>11,41,964.24</b> <b>(Eleven Lakh Forty One Thousand Nine Hundred &amp; Sixty Four and Paise Twenty Four only)</b>	<b>L3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>M/S KSJ Dynamic Security Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi</b>	<b>8,88,577.24</b> <b>(Eight Lakh Eighty Eight Thousand Five Hundred &amp; Seventy Seven and Paise Twenty Four only)</b>	<b>L2</b>

**Recommendation of the Committee:**

Out of the 3 No. of eligible firms, as detailed in foregoing pages, Sl. No. 1, M/s Vision Security Services, Meerut has quoted the lowest rates, hence, the Committee recommends the award of **JOB WORK CONTRACT FOR PROVIDING SECURITY SERVICES AT ICAR-CIRG, MAKHDOOM FARAH, MATHURA** to M/s Vision Security Services, Meerut at a total per month rate of **Rs. 8,37,577.58 (Eight Lakh Thirty Seven Thousand Five Hundred & Seventy Seven and Paise Fifty Eight only)** for the earmarked points, as in Schedule III of the tender.